

Robust estimation of a quantile: hydraulic case study

ETICS 2018, Roscoff

Copyright © EDF 2018 – Merlin Keller, Jérôme Stenger, Anne-Laure Popelin, Michaël Baudin



SIMPLIFIED HYDRAULIC MODEL : PARAMETRIC CASE STUDY

Four independent variables

Variable	Distribution
Q : débit max. annuel (m^3/s)	Gumbel(mode=1013, échelle=558)
Ks : coefficient de Manning-Strickler $(m^{1/3}/s)$	Normal(μ=30, σ=7.5)
Zv : côte du fond de la rivière en aval (<i>m</i>)	Uniforme(49,51)
Zm : côte du fond de la rivière en amont (<i>m</i>)	Uniforme(54,55)

- Output: Water height H (in m) $H = \left(\frac{Q}{300K_{s_{1}}\sqrt{\frac{Z_{m} - Z_{m}}{5000}}}\right)^{3/5}$
- Interest quantities:
 - Flood probability : p = P(H > h)

• α -quantile of H: $inf\{h, P(H \le h) \ge \alpha\}$



MONTE-CARLO CENTRAL TENDANCY ANALYSIS



- **Distribution of** H h, with h = 5m
- What do you think of the flood risk?
- Graphically, what seem to be the most influent variables?







MONTE-CARLO ESTIMATE OF FLOOD PROBABILITY

- What confidence can we have in these results?
- What if we chose different distributions for the input variables?



Failure	probability	y estimate
---------	-------------	------------

Estimata	Value	Confidence interval at 95%	
Estimate		Lower bound	Upper bound
Failure probability	0.000609809	0.000490585	0.000729032
Coefficient of variation	0.0997515		



SIMPLIFIED HYDRAULIC MODEL

Four independent variables

Variable	Bounds	Mean
Q : débit max. annuel (m^3/s)	160 3580	1870
Ks : coefficient de Manning-Strickler $(m^{1/3}/s)$	12.55 47.45	30
Zv : côte du fond de la rivière en aval (<i>m</i>)	49 51	50
Zm : côte du fond de la rivière en amont (m)	54 55	54.5

• Output: Water height H (in m) $H = \left(\frac{Q}{300K_s\sqrt{\frac{Z_m - Z_m}{5000}}}\right)^{3/5} \in [0.5,9]$

Interest quantities:

- Flood probability : p = P(H > h)
- α -quantile of H: $inf\{h, P(H \le h) \ge \alpha\}$



OBJECTIVE OF THE PRACTICAL SESSION

- Estimate robust bounds on the tail probability or quantile of the water height *H*, based on the OUQ/robust inference framework
- Two cases considered her:
 - 1D: Q only considered uncertain, all other variables set to their mean value → H ∈ [0; 5]
 - **4D: all variables considered uncertain** \rightarrow *H* \in [0; 9]
 - In practice we are interested in water height thresholds h > 3
- Three methods considered here:
 - Simulated annealing
 - Differential evolution
 - Differential evolution in the mystic framework

