

# Two advances in Gaussian Process-based prediction and optimization for computer experiments

David Ginsbourger [ginsbourger@gmail.com](mailto:ginsbourger@gmail.com)

University of Neuchâtel (Institute of Mathematics & Institute of Hydrogeology)  
and Ecole Nationale Supérieure des Mines de Saint-Etienne

MASCOT09 Meeting (March 18-20, 2009 at Galilée and Henri Poincaré Institutes)

The approximation and optimization of costly numerical simulators often relies on a surrogate model, or *metamodel*, built on the basis of a design of experiments [6, 10]. If many kinds of approximation techniques exist [3], Gaussian Process Regression [8] (Kriging) has become very popular in computer experiments, in particular because it allows the definition of probabilistic criteria for sequential exploration [4]. For instance, Kriging-based optimization algorithms —like the *Efficient Global Optimization* algorithm (EGO [5])—take advantage of the Kriging variance in order to force exploration outside the already visited zones. After recalling some basics of Kriging and giving an illustrated introduction to the EGO algorithm, we will propose a discussion on some recent issues, respectively in Kriging model selection and in the design of probabilistic exploration criteria dedicated to parallel optimization. More precisely the two following points will be developed:

1. **Parallelizing EGO [2]:** we investigate a multipoint optimization criterion, the  $q$ -EI, aimed at choosing  $q \in \mathbb{N}^*$  points at the same time. In particular, we propose different ways to parallelize EGO. Derivations are performed within the framework of Gaussian processes [8, 3]. Since directly optimizing the  $q$ -EI becomes extremely expensive as  $q$  and  $d$  (the dimension of inputs) grow, we propose two nearly optimal sequential procedures called the "Kriging Believer" and the "Constant Liar". The  $q$ -EI criterion can then be used to select between candidate designs. Finally, the efficiency of our approach is illustrated through classical optimization test problems.
2. **Taking physical symmetries into account within Kriging [1]:** Learning a deterministic function using a gaussian process relies on the selection of a covariance kernel. When some prior information is available concerning symmetries of the function to be approximated, it is clearly unreasonable not to use it in the choice of the kernel. We propose a characterization of the kernels which associated processes have their paths invariant under the action of a finite group of transformations [7].

**Acknowledgements:** This work was conducted within the frame of the DICE (Deep Inside Computer Experiments) Consortium between ARMINES, Renault, EDF, IRSN, ONERA, and Total S.A. I wish to thank Raphael T. Haftka, Anestis Antoniadis, Olivier Roustant, and Yann Richet for their helpful and rich comments.

## References

- [1] D. Ginsbourger, X. Bay, and L. Carraro. Noyaux de covariance pour le krigeage de fonctions symétriques. *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, section Maths*, to appear, 2009.
- [2] D. Ginsbourger, R. Le Riche, and L. Carraro. A multi-points criterion for deterministic parallel global optimization based on gaussian processes. *Journal of Global Optimization*, in revision, 2009.
- [3] T. Hastie, R. Tibshirani, and J. Friedman. *The Elements of Statistical Learning*. Springer, 2001.
- [4] D.R. Jones. A taxonomy of global optimization methods based on response surfaces. *Journal of Global Optimization*, 21(21):345–383, 2001.
- [5] D.R. Jones, M. Schonlau, and W.J. Welch. Efficient global optimization of expensive black-box functions. *Journal of Global Optimization*, 13:455–492, 1998.
- [6] J.R. Koehler and A.B. Owen. Computer experiments. Technical report, Department of Statistics, Stanford University, 1996.
- [7] S. Lang. *Algebra*. Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1965.
- [8] C.E. Rasmussen and K.I. Williams. *Gaussian Processes for Machine Learning*. M.I.T. Press, 2006.
- [9] D. Revuz and M. Yor. *Continuous Martingales and Brownian Motion*. Springer-Verlag, 1991.
- [10] T.J. Santner, B.J. Williams, and W.J. Notz. *The Design and Analysis of Computer Experiments*. Springer, 2003.