Weighted least-squares for randomised  $L^2$  approximation

#### Albert Cohen, Matthieu Dolbeault

Laboratoire Jacques-Louis Lions (LJLL), Sorbonne Université

March 10, 2022

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = ● ● ●

Setting Relaxed problems Expected L<sup>2</sup> error

Setting

Let  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  domain,  $F \subset L^2(D,\mu)$  set of functions on D

GoalApproximate 
$$f \in F$$
 based on point values at  $x_1, \ldots, x_m \in D$ 

#### Sampling numbers

$$g_m(F, L^2) = \inf_{x_1, \dots, x_m \in D} \inf_{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m \in L^2} \sup_{f \in F} \left\| f - \sum_{i=1}^m f(x_i) \varphi_i \right\|_{L^2}$$

#### Approximation numbers

$$a_n(F, L^2) = \inf_{L_1, \dots, L_n: H \to \mathbb{C}} \inf_{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n \in L^2} \sup_{f \in F} \left\| f - \sum_{i=1}^n L_i(f) \varphi_i \right\|_{L^2}$$

Setting Relaxed problems Expected L<sup>2</sup> error

Point evaluations are not continuous in  $L^2$ 

Take 
$$V_n = \text{Span}(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n)$$
 a subspace of  $L^2$   
 $F = \{f \in L^2, d(f, V_n) \leq \varepsilon\}$ 

Then  $a_n(F, L^2) = \varepsilon$  but  $g_n(F, L^2) = \infty$ 



Setting Relaxed problems Expected L<sup>2</sup> error

## Relaxed problems

- Compare  $g_m(F, L^2)$  to  $a_n(F, L^\infty)$ 
  - I. Limonova and V.N. Temlyakov, On sampling discretization in  $L^2$  (2020)
  - V. N. Temlyakov, On optimal recovery in L<sup>2</sup>, JoC (2020)

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Setting Relaxed problems Expected L<sup>2</sup> error

# Relaxed problems

• Compare  $g_m(F, L^2)$  to  $a_n(F, L^\infty)$ 

I. Limonova and V.N. Temlyakov, On sampling discretization in  $L^2$  (2020)

V. N. Temlyakov, On optimal recovery in  $L^2$ , JoC (2020)

• Compare  $g_m(F, L^2)$  to  $\sqrt{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k>n}a_k(F, L^2)^2}$ 

L. Kaemmerer, T. Ullrich, and T. Volkmer *Worst case recovery* guarantees for least squares approximation using random samples, CA (2019)

N. Nagel, M. Schäfer, and T. Ullrich, A new upper bound for sampling numbers, FoCM (2020)

- D. Krieg and M. Ullrich, Function values are enough for
- $L^2$ -approximation, FoCM (2020) and JoC (2021)

Setting Relaxed problems Expected L<sup>2</sup> error

# Relaxed problems

• Compare  $g_m(F, L^2)$  to  $a_n(F, L^\infty)$ 

I. Limonova and V.N. Temlyakov, On sampling discretization in  $L^2$  (2020)

V. N. Temlyakov, On optimal recovery in  $L^2$ , JoC (2020)

• Compare 
$$g_m(F, L^2)$$
 to  $\sqrt{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k>n}a_k(F, L^2)^2}$ 

L. Kaemmerer, T. Ullrich, and T. Volkmer *Worst case recovery* guarantees for least squares approximation using random samples, CA (2019)

N. Nagel, M. Schäfer, and T. Ullrich, *A new upper bound for sampling numbers*, FoCM (2020)

- D. Krieg and M. Ullrich, Function values are enough for  $L^2$ -approximation, FoCM (2020) and JoC (2021)
- Compare the expected error  $g_m^{ran}$  over random points to  $a_n(F, L^2)$

Setting Relaxed problems Expected L<sup>2</sup> error

# Expected $L^2$ error

#### Randomized sampling numbers

$$g_m^{\mathrm{ran}}(F,L^2) = \inf_{\sigma} \inf_{\varphi:D^m \times \mathbb{C}^m \to V_n} \sup_{f \in F} \sqrt{\mathbb{E}_{(x_1,\ldots,x_m) \sim \sigma}} \left\| f - \varphi(x_i,f(x_i)) \right\|_{L^2}^2$$

If  $\sigma_i \ll \mu$ ,  $f(x_i)$  is almost surely well defined.

Framing

$$a_n(F, L^2) \leqslant g_n^{\operatorname{ran}}(F, L^2) \leqslant g_n(F, L^2)$$

Theorem (M.D. and A. Cohen, *Optimal pointwise sampling for*  $L^2$  *approximation*, JoC 2022)

There exist universal constants C, K > 0 such that

$$g_m^{\mathrm{ran}}(F, L^2) \leqslant Ka_n(F, L^2)$$

with  $m \leq Cn$ 

Random sampling Concentration inequality Kadison-Singer problem

## Random sampling

Take  $(b_1, \ldots, b_n)$  an orthonormal basis of the optimal  $V_n$ 

Christoffel function

$$\rho(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n |b_j(x)|^2$$

Sample  $x_1, \ldots, x_m$  i.i.d according to the probability measure  $\rho d\mu$ Define weights  $w_i = 1/\sqrt{\rho(x_i)}$ , a discretisation  $N : f \mapsto (w_i f(x_i))_{i \leq m}$ , and

$$G = (w_i b_j(x_i))_{i \leqslant m, j \leqslant n} \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$$

Weighted least-squares approximation

$$Af := b \cdot G^+ Nf = b \cdot (G^*G)^{-1} G^* Nf$$

6/23

-

Random sampling Concentration inequality Kadison-Singer problem

Sketch of the proof

Denote P the L<sup>2</sup>-orthogonal projection onto  $V_n$ , and  $\bar{f} = f - Pf$ , then assuming that

$$\|G^+\|_{2 o 2}^2\leqslant rac{\mathcal{K}_1}{m} \quad ext{and} \quad rac{1}{m}\mathbb{E}\|Nar{f}\|_2^2\leqslant \mathcal{K}_2\|ar{f}\|_{L^2}^2$$

gives

$$\mathbb{E} \| f - Af \|_{L^{2}}^{2} = \| f - Pf \|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \mathbb{E} \| Af - Pf \|_{L^{2}}^{2}$$
  
$$= \| \bar{f} \|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \| A\bar{f} \|_{L^{2}}^{2}$$
  
$$\leq a_{n}^{2} + \mathbb{E} \| G^{+} \|_{2 \to 2}^{2} \| N\bar{f} \|_{2}^{2}$$
  
$$\leq a_{n}^{2} + \frac{K_{1}}{m} \mathbb{E} \| N\bar{f} \|_{2}^{2}$$
  
$$\leq (1 + K_{1}K_{2})a_{n}^{2}$$

-

Random sampling Concentration inequality Kadison-Singer problem

### Satisfying the two conditions

By choice of the weights and sampling measure

$$\frac{1}{m}\mathbb{E}\|N\bar{f}\|_{2}^{2} = \frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^{m}\mathbb{E}w_{i}^{2}|\bar{f}(x_{i})|^{2} = \int_{D}\frac{1}{\rho}|\bar{f}|^{2}\rho \,d\mu = \|\bar{f}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}$$

Moreover  $\|G^+\|_{2
ightarrow 2}^2 = s_{\min}(G)^{-2} = \lambda_{\min}(G^*G)^{-1}$  and

$$\mathbb{E}(G^*G) = \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbb{E} y_i^* y_i = m \left( \int_D \frac{1}{\rho} b_j b_k \rho \, d\mu \right)_{j,k} = m \mathbb{I}$$

ъ.

Random sampling Concentration inequality Kadison-Singer problem

## Matrix Chernoff bound

Theorem (R. Ahlswede and A. Winter, see J. Tropp, *User-Friendly tail bounds for sums of random matrices*, FoCM 2012)

Let

$$\Lambda = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} y_i^* y_i \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$$

with  $(y_i)$  i.i.d vectors, such that  $\mathbb{E}(\Lambda) = I$  and  $||y_i||_2^2 \leq \delta$ . Then

$$\mathbb{P}(\|\mathsf{\Lambda}-\mathsf{I}\|_{2\to 2}>1/2)\leqslant 2ne^{-m/10\delta}$$

Here  $\Lambda = \frac{1}{m}G^*G$  so  $y_i = w_i (b_j(x_i))_j$  satisfies the hypotheses

$$\|y_i\|_2^2 = \frac{1}{\rho(x_i)} \sum_{j=1}^n |b_j(x_i)|^2 = n =: \delta$$

Consequence : for  $m \ge 10n \log(4n)$ , the event  $\mathcal{E} = \{\Lambda \ge \frac{1}{2}I\}$  occurs with probability at least  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

Random sampling Concentration inequality Kadison-Singer problem

Resampling

We resample  $x_1, \ldots, x_m$  until  $\mathcal{E}$  happens. In the end

$$G^*G = m\Lambda \geqslant \frac{m}{2}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{m}\mathbb{E}(\|N\bar{f}\|_2^2|\mathcal{E}) = \frac{1}{m}\frac{\mathbb{E}(\|N\bar{f}\|_2^2)}{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})} \leqslant \frac{2}{m}\mathbb{E}\|N\bar{f}\|_2^2 = 2\|\bar{f}\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Now the two conditions hold, with  $m = O(n \log n)$ 

A. Cohen and G. Migliorati, Optimal weighted least squares methods, SMAI JCM (2017)
C. Haberstich, A. Nouy, and G. Perrin, Boosted optimal weighted least-squares (2019)

-

Random sampling Concentration inequality Kadison-Singer problem

Kadison-Singer problem / Weaver's theorem

Theorem (A. Marcus, D. Spielman and N. Srivastava, *Interlacing families II*, AoM 2015)

Let  $y_1, \ldots, y_m \in \mathbb{C}^n$  such that  $||y_i||_2^2 \leq \delta$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^m y_i^* y_i = I$ . Then there exists a partition  $S_1 \sqcup S_2$  of  $\{1, \ldots, m\}$  such that

$$\sum_{i \in S_i} y_i^* y_i \leqslant \frac{(1 + \sqrt{2\delta})^2}{2} \operatorname{\mathsf{I}}, \quad j = 1, 2$$

Corollary (S. Nitzan, A. Olevskii and A. Ulanovskii, *Exponential frames* on unbounded sets, Proc. AMS, 2016)

Let  $y_1, \ldots, y_m \in \mathbb{C}^n$  such that  $||y_i||_2^2 \leq \delta$  and  $\alpha I \leq \sum_{i=1}^m y_i^* y_i \leq \beta I$ , with  $0 < \delta \leq \alpha < \beta$ . Then there exists a partition  $S_1 \sqcup S_2$  of  $\{1, \ldots, m\}$  such that

$$\frac{1-5\sqrt{\delta/\alpha}}{2}\alpha\,\mathsf{I}\leqslant\sum_{i\in S_j}y_i^*y_i\leqslant\frac{1+5\sqrt{\delta/\alpha}}{2}\beta\,\mathsf{I},\quad j=1,2$$

Random sampling Concentration inequality Kadison-Singer problem

Application

Idea : Iteratively split the sample S into  $S_1, S_2$ , and keep  $S_j$  with probability  $p_j = |S_j|/|S|$ The previous lemma garantees to preserve

 $G^*G \geqslant K_1mI$ 

Moreover

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{1}{|S_{j}|}\|N\bar{f}\|_{S_{j}}^{2}\right) = \mathbb{E}_{S}\left(\frac{p_{1}}{|S_{1}|}\|N\bar{f}\|_{S_{1}}^{2} + \frac{p_{2}}{|S_{2}|}\|N\bar{f}\|_{S_{2}}^{2}\right)$$
$$= \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{1}{|S|}\|N\bar{f}\|_{S}^{2}\right)$$
$$= \dots$$
$$= \mathbb{E}_{\{1,\dots,m\}}\frac{1}{m}\|N\bar{f}\|_{2}^{2} \leq 2\|\bar{f}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} = K_{2}\|\bar{f}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}$$

## Reproducing Kernel Hilbert Spaces

Let  $H(K) \subset L^2(D,\mu)$  be a separable RKHS with a kernel K of finite trace

$$\int_D K(x,x) d\mu(x) < \infty$$

There exists an  $L^2$ -orthonormal family  $(b_n)_{n\geq 0}$  such that  $(a_nb_n)_{n\geq 0}$  is orthonormal in H and

$$K(x,y) = \sum_{n \ge 0} |a_n|^2 \overline{b_n(x)} b_n(y)$$

almost everywhere. We take

$$F = \{f \in H, \|f\|_H \leqslant 1\}$$

Main result Concentration inequality Reduction of the sample size

### Previous results

• D. Krieg and M. Ullrich ; L. Kämmerer, T. Ullrich, and T. Volkmer :

$$g_n^2 \leqslant C \, rac{\log n}{n} \sum_{k \geqslant \lfloor cn/\log n \rfloor} a_k^2$$

• N. Nagel, M. Schäfer, and T. Ullrich :

$$g_n^2 \leqslant C \, rac{\log n}{n} \sum_{k \geqslant \lfloor cn \rfloor} a_k^2$$

- D. Krieg and M. Ullrich : Generalisation to arbitrary Banach classes F, but with  $\|(a_k)\|_{\ell^p}$  for p < 2
- A. Hinrichs, D. Krieg, E. Novak and J. Vybiral : For any non-negative and non-increasing sequence a ∈ l<sup>2</sup>(N), there exists a RKHS H such that (a<sub>k</sub>)<sub>k∈N</sub> = a and

$$g_n^2 \geqslant rac{1}{8n} \sum_{k \geqslant n} a_k^2$$

for infinitely many values of n

Main result Concentration inequality Reduction of the sample size

### A new bound

#### Theorem

There exist universal constants C, c > 0 such that for all  $n \ge 1$ 

$$g_n^2 \leqslant \frac{C}{n} \sum_{k \geqslant \lfloor cn \rfloor} a_k^2$$

#### Corollary

If 
$$a_n \lesssim n^{-\alpha} \log^{\beta} n$$
 for  $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $g_n \lesssim n^{-\alpha} \log^{\beta} n$   
If  $a_n \lesssim n^{-1/2} \log^{\beta} n$  for  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\beta < -\frac{1}{2}$ , then  $g_n \lesssim n^{-1/2} \log^{\beta+1/2} n$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

Main result Concentration inequality Reduction of the sample size

#### Rescaling to remove the density

Sampling density

$$\rho_n = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k < n} |b_k(x)|^2 + \frac{\sum_{k \ge n} a_k^2 |b_k(x)|^2}{\sum_{k \ge n} a_k^2} \right)$$

Change of variables

$$\widetilde{K}(x,y) = \frac{K(x,y)}{\sqrt{\rho_n(x)}\sqrt{\rho_n(y)}}, \quad d\widetilde{\mu} = \rho_n \, d\mu$$
$$\widetilde{H} = \left\{\frac{f}{\sqrt{\rho_n}}, f \in H\right\}, \quad \|g\|_{\widetilde{H}} = \|\sqrt{\rho_n}g\|_H$$

WLOG we can assume that  $\rho_n = 1$ 

Main result Concentration inequality Reduction of the sample size

#### Random sample

Draw i.i.d. random points  $x_1, \ldots, x_m \in D$  according to  $\mu$ , and define

$$(y_i)_k = \begin{cases} b_k(x_i) & \text{if } k < n \\ \alpha a_k b_k(x_i) & \text{if } k \ge n \end{cases}, \quad \alpha := \left(a_n^2 + \frac{1}{m}\sum_{k\ge n}a_k^2\right)^{-1/2}$$

Then

$$\|y_i\|_2^2 = \sum_{k < n} |\eta_k(x_i)|^2 + \alpha^2 \sum_{k \ge n} |g_k(x_i)|^2 \le 2n\rho_n(x_i) = 2n$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}(y_{i}y_{i}^{*}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & 0 \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & \alpha^{2}a_{n}^{2} & \\ 0 & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix} =: \Lambda$$

### Concentration inequality for infinite matrices

Theorem (S. Mendelson and A. Pajor, see M. Moëller and T. Ullrich or N. Nagel, M. Schäfer and T. Ullrich)

Let  $y_1, \ldots, y_m$  be i.i.d. random sequences from  $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$  satisfying  $||y_i||_2 \leq 2n$  almost surely and  $\mathbb{E}(y_i y_i^*) = \Lambda$  with  $||\Lambda||_{2\to 2} \leq 1$ . Then

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left\|\frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^{m}y_{i}y_{i}^{*}-\Lambda\right\|_{2\to 2}>t\right)\leqslant 2^{3/4}m\exp\left(-\frac{mt^{2}}{42n}\right)$$

For  $m \ge Cn \log n$ , there exists a sample  $x_1, \ldots, x_m$  such that

$$\left\|\frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^{m}y_{i}y_{i}^{*}-\Lambda\right\|_{2\to 2}\leqslant\frac{1}{2}$$

이미지 이렇게 이렇게 이렇게

Main result Concentration inequality Reduction of the sample size

## Change of basis

#### Complete

$$V_n = \operatorname{Span}\{b_0, \dots, b_{n-1}\}$$

into an  $L^2$ -orthogonal basis  $(\hat{b}_j)_{j < p} = (b_0, \dots, b_{n-1}, \hat{b}_n, \dots, \hat{b}_{p-1})$  of

$$V_{p} := V_{n} \oplus \operatorname{Span} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (y_{i})_{k} b_{k}, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant m 
ight\}$$

Then

$$\hat{b} = (\hat{b}_j)_{j < p} = U b = \begin{pmatrix} \mathsf{I} & \mathsf{0} \\ \mathsf{0} & U' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (b_k)_{k < n} \\ (b_k)_{k \ge n} \end{pmatrix}$$

so

$$\hat{\Lambda} = U \Lambda U^* = \begin{pmatrix} \mathsf{I} & \mathsf{0} \\ \mathsf{0} & \hat{\Lambda}' \end{pmatrix}, \quad \|\hat{\Lambda}'\|_{2 \to 2} \leqslant \alpha^2 a_n^2$$

< 日 > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > <

э.

Main result Concentration inequality Reduction of the sample size

### Adding artificial rank-one matrices

Decompose

$$I - \Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I - \Lambda' \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{j=1}^{p-n} z_j z_j^*$$

and take

$$y_i = \sqrt{\frac{m}{m_{j(i)}}} z_{j(i)}, \quad m_j = \left\lceil \frac{m}{2n} \|z_j\|_2^2 \right\rceil$$

with  $j(i) \in \{1, ..., p - n\}$  such that  $\{y_i, i = m + 1, ..., q\}$  contains exactly  $m_j$  copies of each  $z_j/m_j$ . In this way

$$||y_i||_2^2 \leq m ||z_{j(i)}||^2 m_{j(i)}^{-2} \leq 2n$$

and

$$\frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=m+1}^{q} y_i y_i^* = \sum_{j=1}^{p-n} \frac{m_j}{n_j} z_j z_j^* = I - \Lambda$$

SO



M. Dolbeault

Main result Concentration inequality Reduction of the sample size

### Application of Kadison-Singer problem

Lemma (N. Nagel, M. Schäfer and T. Ullrich)

Let  $y_1, \ldots, y_q \in \mathbb{C}^p$  such that  $\|y_i\|_2^2 \leqslant k_1 \frac{p}{q}$  and

$$k_2 \, \mathsf{I} \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^q y_i y_i^* \leqslant k_3 \, \mathsf{I}$$

Then there is a  $J \subset \{1, \dots, q\}$  such that  $|J \cap \{1, \dots, n\}| \leqslant c_1 n_q^p$  and

$$c_2 \frac{p}{q} \mathsf{I} \leqslant \sum_{i \in J} y_i y_i^* \leqslant c_3 \frac{p}{q} \mathsf{I}$$

Idea : Each time we split the sum in two, keep the partition class that has the fewest elements among  $\{1, \ldots, m\}$ 

・ロト ・同ト ・ヨト ・ヨト ・ シックへ

Main result Concentration inequality Reduction of the sample size

### Proof of the theorem

Denote 
$$J' = J \cap \{1, ..., m\}$$
  
 $L = (b_k(x_i))_{i \in J', k < n}$  and  $\Phi = (\alpha a_k b_k(x_i))_{i \in J', k \ge n}$   
Then  $|J'| \leq c_1 \frac{p}{q} \leq C_1 n$   
 $L^*L \ge c_2 \frac{p}{q} | \ge C_2 n |$  and  $\Phi^* \Phi \leq c_3 \frac{p}{q} | \le C_3 n |$ 

After classical computations

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - Af\|_{L^{2}}^{2} &\leq a_{n}^{2} + \|(L^{*}L)^{-1}L^{*}\|_{2 \to 2}^{2} \|\Phi^{*}\Phi\|_{2 \to 2} \|f - Pf\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \\ &\leq \left(1 + \frac{C_{3}}{C_{2}}\right) \alpha^{-2} \leq C \sum_{k \geq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor} a_{k}^{2} \end{aligned}$$

and A uses  $|J'| \leq C_1 n$  points, which concludes

## Thank you for your attention !

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト