Off-the-grid learning of mixtures

ETICS 2022

C. Butucea (ENSAE), J.-F. Delmas (Ecole des Ponts), A. Dutfoy (EDF R&D), C. Hardy (EDF R&D, Ecole des Ponts)

Sparse spike deconvolution

Infrared spectroscopy

Wave numbers (cm-1)	Peak assignment
3690-3400-3364-3200-3014	-OH
2952-2920-2850	$\nu - CH_2, CH_3$ Aliphatic
1731	$\nu - C = O$
1647	$\nu - C = C \text{ de } HC = CH_2$
1540	$\nu - C = C \text{ de R-CR} = \text{CH-R}, \delta \text{ CH2}$ Aliphatic
1419	δCH_2 , δ -CH Aliphatic
1160-1082	ν Si-O (SiO ₂)
1009-909	ν Si-O (Si-OH)
825	C-Cl
664	CH Aromatic

Table of the location of peaks and their corresponding bonds for polychloroprene samples ([Tchalla, 2017]).



$$\mathbf{y(t)} = \sum_{k=1}^{s} eta_k \, arphi(heta_k,t) + w(t), \; (arphi(heta,\cdot), heta\in\Theta) \; ext{continuous dictionary}.$$

Some examples of dictionaries

• Sparse spike deconvolution: $\varphi \colon \Theta \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$

$$(heta,t)\mapsto \mathrm{e}^{-rac{(heta-t)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$
 .

- Scaling model: $\varphi \colon \Theta \times \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ $(\theta, t) \mapsto e^{-\theta t}$.
- Multiresolution approximation: $\varphi_j : \Theta \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ $(\theta, t) \mapsto \operatorname{sinc}(2^j t - \theta).$
- One hidden layer neural networks: φ: Θ × ℝ^d → ℝ
 (θ, x) ↦ ξ(⟨x, θ⟩)
 where ξ is the ReLU or the sigmoid function.

Model

We observe a random element y of the Hilbert space $(H_T, < \cdot, \cdot >_T)$, for $T \in \mathbb{N}$.

Continuous dictionary { $\varphi_T(\theta), \theta \in \Theta$ } of non-degenerate elements of H_T and the normalized functions

$$\phi_{\mathcal{T}}(\theta) = \frac{\varphi_{\mathcal{T}}(\theta)}{\|\varphi_{\mathcal{T}}(\theta)\|_{\mathcal{T}}}.$$

Model

We observe a random element y of the Hilbert space $(H_T, < \cdot, \cdot >_T)$, for $T \in \mathbb{N}$.

Continuous dictionary { $\varphi_T(\theta), \theta \in \Theta$ } of non-degenerate elements of H_T and the normalized functions

$$\phi_{\mathcal{T}}(\theta) = \frac{\varphi_{\mathcal{T}}(\theta)}{\|\varphi_{\mathcal{T}}(\theta)\|_{\mathcal{T}}}.$$

We assume

$$y = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \beta_k^{\star} \cdot \phi_T(\theta_k^{\star}) + w_T,$$

where

- w_T is a centered Gaussian element of H_T ,
- β^* in \mathbb{R}^K , *s*-sparse,
- $\{\theta_k^{\star}\}_{k=1}^{K}$ included in Θ .

Model

$$y = \beta^* \Phi_T(\vartheta^*) + w_T$$
, in H_T .

(model)

For all $\vartheta = (\theta_1, \cdots, \theta_K) \in \Theta^K$,

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{T}}(\vartheta) = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{\mathcal{T}}(\theta_1) \\ \vdots \\ \phi_{\mathcal{T}}(\theta_{\mathcal{K}}) \end{pmatrix}$$

is a multivariate function defined on Θ^{K} . (K is a bound on s that can be taken arbitrarily large.)

$$S^{\star} = \{k, \quad \beta_k^{\star} \neq 0\}, \text{ Card } S^{\star} = s < K.$$

Examples

We observe a process y in $H_T = L^2(\lambda_T)$.

• Discrete example: Regular grid on [0,1], $\lambda_T = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{j=1}^T \delta_{t_j}$ with

$$t_j = j/T$$
 and , $w_T(t_j) \underset{i.i.d}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$.
 $y\left(\frac{j}{T}\right) = \beta^* \Phi_T\left(\vartheta^*, \frac{j}{T}\right) + w_j, \quad w_j \underset{i.i.d}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2), \quad j = 1, \cdots, T.$

Examples

We observe a process y in $H_T = L^2(\lambda_T)$.

• **Discrete example:** Regular grid on [0, 1], $\lambda_T = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{T} \delta_{t_i}$ with

$$t_j = j/T$$
 and , $w_T(t_j) \underset{i.i.d}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$.
 $y\left(\frac{j}{T}\right) = \beta^* \Phi_T\left(\vartheta^*, \frac{j}{T}\right) + w_j, \quad w_j \underset{i.i.d}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2), \quad j = 1, \cdots, T$.

• Continuous example: $\lambda_T = Lebesgue$ on [0, 1] and w_T is a Brownian motion: $w_T = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{T}} B$,

$$y = \beta^* \Phi_T(\vartheta^*) + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{T}} B$$
, Lebesgue-a.e.

Examples

We observe a process y in $H_T = L^2(\lambda_T)$.

• **Discrete example:** Regular grid on [0, 1], $\lambda_T = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{T} \delta_{t_i}$ with

$$t_j = j/T$$
 and , $w_T(t_j) \underset{i.i.d}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$.
 $y\left(\frac{j}{T}\right) = \beta^* \Phi_T\left(\vartheta^*, \frac{j}{T}\right) + w_j, \quad w_j \underset{i.i.d}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2), \quad j = 1, \cdots, T.$

• Continuous example: $\lambda_T = Lebesgue$ on [0, 1] and w_T is a Brownian motion: $w_T = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{T}} B$,

$$y = \beta^* \Phi_T(\vartheta^*) + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{T}} B$$
, Lebesgue-a.e.

In both cases: $\forall f \in L^2(\lambda_T)$, $Var \langle f, w_T \rangle_T \leq \frac{\sigma^2}{T} \|f\|_T^2$.

They can be stated and applied to:

-learning mixtures, compressed sensing, one hidden layer neural networks, super-resolution in signal processing...

They can be stated and applied to:

-learning mixtures, compressed sensing, one hidden layer neural networks, super-resolution in signal processing...

Beurling-Lasso (BLasso) de Castro and Gamboa, 2012 - convex optimization problem over a set of Radon measures $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{T})$ on the design space \mathcal{T} :

$$\min_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{T})} \frac{1}{2} \| y - \Phi \mu \|_{\mathcal{T}}^2 + \kappa |\mu|_{\mathcal{T}V}, \qquad (\mathcal{P}(\kappa))$$

where $\Phi : \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{T}) \to H_{\mathcal{T}}$ is the acquisition operator and $|\mu|_{\mathcal{T}V}$ denotes the total variation of the measure μ .

Remark:
$$\Phi \mu = \int \phi d\mu$$
 is equal to $\sum_k \beta_k^* \phi(\theta_k^*)$ for $d\mu(t) = \sum_k \beta_k^* \delta_{\theta_k^*}(dt)$.

Remark: -the solution to the problem $\mathcal{P}(\kappa)$ is not necessarily a discrete measure (typically when $dim(H_T) = +\infty$). Therefore, we proceed with a slightly different optimization problem so that we recover a discrete mixture as solution.

We build estimators by solving a regularized optimization problem with a tuning parameter $\kappa>0$:

$$(\hat{eta}, \hat{artheta}) \in \operatorname*{argmin}_{eta \in \mathbb{R}^{K}, artheta \in \Theta_{T}^{K}} \quad rac{1}{2} ||y - eta \Phi_{T}(artheta)||_{T}^{2} + \kappa ||eta||_{\ell_{1}}$$

 $\Theta_T \subset \Theta$, compact interval.

We assume that for all $k \in S^*$, $\theta_k^* \in \Theta_T$.

Optimization problem

$$(\hat{eta}, \hat{artheta}) \in \operatorname*{argmin}_{eta \in \mathbb{R}^{K}, artheta \in \Theta_{T}^{K}} \quad \frac{1}{2} ||y - eta \Phi_{T}(artheta)||_{T}^{2} + \kappa ||eta||_{\ell_{1}}$$

The algorithms used to solve numerically the problem (also the BLasso):

- Sliding Frank-Wolfe algorithm (Denoyel et al. 2019)
- conic particle gradient descent (Chizat, 2021)

Optimization problem

$$(\hat{eta}, \hat{artheta}) \in \mathop{\mathrm{argmin}}_{eta \in \mathbb{R}^{\kappa}, artheta \in \Theta_{ au}^{\kappa}} \quad \frac{1}{2} ||y - eta \Phi_{ au}(artheta)||_{ au}^2 + \kappa ||eta||_{\ell_1}$$

The algorithms used to solve numerically the problem (also the BLasso):

- Sliding Frank-Wolfe algorithm (Denoyel et al. 2019)
- conic particle gradient descent (Chizat, 2021)

We will give high-probability bounds for the prediction risk

$$\|\hat{\beta}\Phi_T(\hat{\theta}) - \beta^*\Phi_T(\vartheta^*)\|_T^2$$

and some estimation results.

Optimization problem

$$(\hat{\beta}, \hat{\vartheta}) \in \underset{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^{K}, \vartheta \in \Theta_{T}^{K}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \quad \frac{1}{2} ||y - \beta \Phi_{T}(\vartheta)||_{T}^{2} + \kappa ||\beta||_{\ell_{1}}$$

The algorithms used to solve numerically the problem (also the BLasso):

- Sliding Frank-Wolfe algorithm (Denoyel et al. 2019)
- conic particle gradient descent (Chizat, 2021)

We will give high-probability bounds for the prediction risk

$$\|\hat{\beta}\Phi_T(\hat{\theta}) - \beta^*\Phi_T(\vartheta^*)\|_T^2$$

and some estimation results.

Bibliography:

-For known ϑ^{\star} , linear regression model! [Bühlmann and van de Geer, 2011].

BLasso : [de Castro and Gamboa, 2012];

Super-resolution and compressed sensing: [Candès and Fernandez-Granda, 2013, 2014];[Tang et al, 2013]; ...

Off-the-grid methods

- Existence of atomic solutions when $dim(H_T) < +\infty$, [Boyer et al, 2019].
- Exact support recovery results in a small noise regime, [Duval & Peyré, 2015].
- Density mixture model, [De Castro et al, 2020].
- Prediction error bounds for the Fourier basis functions, [Tang et al 2014], [Boyer et al, 2017].

-Non translation invariant models: [Poon, Keriven, Peyré, 2021] describes the natural geometric framework of the BLasso.

Assume $\Theta\subseteq\mathbb{R}.$ We define the kernel $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{T}}$ on Θ^2 by:

$$\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{T}}(\theta, \theta') = \langle \phi_{\mathcal{T}}(\theta), \phi_{\mathcal{T}}(\theta') \rangle_{\mathcal{T}} = \frac{\langle \varphi_{\mathcal{T}}(\theta), \varphi_{\mathcal{T}}(\theta') \rangle_{\mathcal{T}}}{\|\varphi_{\mathcal{T}}(\theta)\|_{\mathcal{T}} \|\varphi_{\mathcal{T}}(\theta')\|_{\mathcal{T}}}.$$

Assume $\Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. We define the kernel $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{T}}$ on Θ^2 by:

$$\mathcal{K}_{\tau}(\theta, \theta') = \langle \phi_{\tau}(\theta), \phi_{\tau}(\theta') \rangle_{\tau} = \frac{\langle \varphi_{\tau}(\theta), \varphi_{\tau}(\theta') \rangle_{\tau}}{\|\varphi_{\tau}(\theta)\|_{\tau} \|\varphi_{\tau}(\theta')\|_{\tau}}.$$

We have

$$g_{\mathcal{T}}(\theta) = \partial_{xy}^2 \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{T}}(\theta, \theta),$$

defining an intrinsic **Riemannian metric** on Θ^2 :

$$\mathfrak{d}_T(\theta, \theta') = |G_T(\theta) - G_T(\theta')|,$$

where G_T is a primitive of $\sqrt{g_T}$.

Assume we observe the random element y of H_T under the regression model with β^* a *s*-sparse vector and $\vartheta^* = (\theta_1^*, \cdots, \theta_K^*)$ a vector with entries in Θ_T , a compact interval of \mathbb{R} , such that:

Assumption

 w_T is Gaussian and there exists a noise level σ > 0 and a decay rate for the noise variance Δ_T > 0 such that for all f ∈ H_T,

$$Var \langle f, w_T \rangle_T \leq \sigma^2 \Delta_T \|f\|_T^2.$$

- Smoothness conditions on φ .
- Local concavity and boundedness of \mathcal{K}_{∞} .
- For all $1 \le k \ne \ell \le s$, $\mathfrak{d}_T(\theta_k^\star, \theta_\ell^\star) > 2\,\delta(s)$.
- \mathcal{K}_T is close enough from \mathcal{K}_∞ .

Theorem (Butucea, Delmas, Dutfoy, H., 22) For $\tau > 1$ and $\kappa \ge C_1 \sigma \sqrt{\Delta_T \log \tau}$, we have $\left\| \hat{\beta} \Phi_T(\hat{\vartheta}) - \beta^* \Phi_T(\vartheta^*) \right\|_T \le C_0 \sigma \sqrt{s} \kappa$ with probability at least $1 - C_2 \left(\frac{|\Theta_T|_{\vartheta_T}}{\tau \log \tau} \lor \frac{1}{\tau} \right)$. We define the following sets for r > 0:

-
$$\hat{S} = \left\{ \ell : \hat{eta}_\ell
eq 0
ight\}$$
 the support of $\hat{eta};$

- $\tilde{S}_k(r) = \left\{ \ell \in \hat{S} : \mathfrak{d}_T(\hat{\theta}_\ell, \theta_k^*) < r \right\}$ the set of indices ℓ in the support of $\hat{\beta}$ associated to a parameter $\hat{\theta}_\ell$ that is close to θ_k^* , for k in S^* ;

-
$$ilde{S}(r) = \bigcup_{k \in S^{\star}} ilde{S}_k(r).$$

Theorem (Butucea, Delmas, Dutfoy, H., 22)

There exists r > 0 so that the sets $\tilde{S}_k(r)$ are disjoint and for $\tau > 1$ and $\kappa \ge C_1 \sigma \sqrt{\Delta_T \log \tau}$, we have

$$\begin{split} \sum_{k \in S^{\star}} \left| |\beta_k^{\star}| - \sum_{\ell \in \tilde{S}_k(r)} |\hat{\beta}_\ell| \right| \lesssim \sigma s \kappa \\ \sum_{k \in S^{\star}} \left| \beta_k^{\star} - \sum_{\ell \in \tilde{S}_k(r)} \hat{\beta}_\ell \right| \lesssim \sigma s \kappa \\ \left\| \hat{\beta}_{\tilde{S}(r)^c} \right\|_{\ell_1} \lesssim \sigma s \kappa \end{split}$$
with probability greater than $1 - \mathcal{C}_2 \left(\frac{|\Theta_{\tau}|_{\mathfrak{d}_{\tau}}}{\tau \sqrt{\log \tau}} \vee \frac{1}{\tau} \right). \end{split}$

Discussion

We consider a general framework including discrete and continuous models with Gaussian, possibly correlated, noise and various dictionaries of smooth functions.

Discussion

We consider a general framework including discrete and continuous models with Gaussian, possibly correlated, noise and various dictionaries of smooth functions.

The upper bound on the prediction risk:

- is nearly the same as for the linear regression in the discrete model (*i.e* ϑ^* is known and $H_T = \mathbb{R}^T$),
- extends results obtained for a Fourier basis functions [Tang et al 2014], [Boyer et al, 2017].
- holds under strong separation conditions on the non-linear parameters (of order s in theory, can be reduced to constant for models of spike deconvolution)!
- is free of K
- involves controls of tails of sup of linear functionals of a Gaussian process (Azaïs and Wschebor, 2009)

• Simultaneous learning of a continuum of signals

$$y(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{s} \beta(z) \Phi_T(\vartheta) + w_T(z), \quad z \in \mathcal{Z}.$$

- Goodness-of-fit testing
- Testing if the features involved in the mixture belong to a known finite set of features.

Bonus

Assumption ($\Theta \subset \mathbb{R}$)

 w_T is Gaussian and there exists a noise level σ > 0 and a decay rate for the noise variance Δ_T > 0 such that for all f ∈ H_T,

$$Var \langle f, w_T \rangle_T \leq \sigma^2 \Delta_T \|f\|_T^2.$$

- Smoothness conditions on φ .
- Local concavity and boundedness of \mathcal{K}_{∞} .
- $\delta(u,s) < +\infty$, where u is a computable constant.
- For all $1 \le k \ne \ell \le s$, $\mathfrak{d}_T(\theta_k^\star, \theta_\ell^\star) > 2\,\delta(u, s)$.
- \mathcal{K}_T is close enough from \mathcal{K}_∞ .

$$\begin{split} \delta(u,s) &= \inf \Big\{ \delta > 0 \colon \max_{1 \leq \ell \leq s} \sum_{k=1, k \neq \ell}^{s} |\mathcal{K}_{\infty}^{[i,j]}(\theta_{\ell}, \theta_{k})| < u \text{ for all } (i,j) \in \\ \{0,1\} \times \{0,1,2\} \text{ and for all } (\theta_{1}, \cdots, \theta_{s}) \in \Theta^{s} \text{ s.t } \mathfrak{d}_{\infty}(\theta_{k}, \theta_{\ell}) > \delta \Big\}. \end{split}$$

Boundedness and local concavity on the diagonal of the kernel Define:

$$\varepsilon_{\mathcal{T}}(r) = 1 - \sup\left\{ |\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{T}}(\theta, \theta')|; \quad \theta, \theta' \in \Theta_{\mathcal{T}} \text{ such that } \mathfrak{d}_{\mathcal{T}}(\theta', \theta) \geq r \right\},$$

$$\nu_{\mathcal{T}}(r) = -\sup\left\{\mathcal{K}^{[0,2]}_{\mathcal{T}}(\theta,\theta'); \quad \theta,\theta'\in\Theta_{\mathcal{T}} \text{ such that } \mathfrak{d}_{\mathcal{T}}(\theta',\theta) \leq r\right\}.$$

We shall require $\varepsilon_T(r)$ and $\nu_T(r)$ for some r > 0.