

Bayes factors for multinomial goodness-of-fit testing

P. FONSECA

ISEG Lisbon School of Economics and Management

Supervisor(s): Prof. Rui Paulo (ISEG Lisbon School of Economics and Management)

Ph.D. expected duration: 2017 - 2021

Address: Rua Cidade de Lisboa 7, 2° DTO, 2005–256 Santarém, Portugal

Email: pedro.teles.fonseca@phd.iseg.ulisboa.pt

Abstract:

Classical significance tests with fixed dimension are known to over-reject point null hypotheses in large samples due to the high levels of power they attain as the acceptance region shrinks with sample size for a given significance level. Relevant discussions on this issue include [1], [3], [5], and [7]. The need to find alternatives is evident in the present-day context, as the utility and the correct interpretation of classical tests is being debated, and there is concern about the frequent misuse and misinterpretation of classical measures of evidence (see, for example, [2], [9], [12], and [13]). Bayes factors, used extensively in both Bayesian model selection and hypothesis testing, are a popular alternative to classical significance tests. Bayesian methods, however, require the specification of prior distributions, and Bayes factors are known to depend rather strongly on prior distribution specification. This dependency does not disappear as the sample size increases, as it does in parameter estimation. Moreover, non-informative priors are often defined only up to a constant multiple, yielding indeterminate Bayes factors. To solve this indeterminacy, alternatives based on training samples have been suggested, including: fractional Bayes factors [10], intrinsic Bayes factors [4], expected-posterior priors [11], power-expected-posterior priors [8], and integral priors [6]. Using the aforementioned methods, we will derive default Bayes factors and prior distributions to be used in multinomial point null hypothesis testing, and assess and compare their properties and their performance on simulated data, as we intend to extend the application of default model selection methods to the problem of multinomial point null hypothesis testing.

References

- [1] E. Moreno Bas and J. A. Cano Sanchez. Testing a point null hypothesis: asymptotic robust Bayesian analysis with respect to the priors given on a subsigma field. *International Statistical Review*, 57(3):221–232, 1989.
- [2] Daniel J. Benjamin, James O. Berger, and Magnus Johannesson et al. Redefine statistical significance. *Nature Human Behaviour*, 2(1):6–10, 2018.
- [3] James O. Berger and Mohan Delampady. Testing precise hypotheses. *Statistical Science*, 2(3):317–335, 1987.
- [4] James O. Berger and Luis R. Pericchi. The intrinsic Bayes factor for model selection and prediction. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 91(433):109–122, 1996.
- [5] James O. Berger and Thomas Sellke. Testing a point null hypothesis: the irreconcilability of p values and evidence. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 82(397):112–122, 1987.
- [6] J. A. Cano, Diego Salmerón, and C. P. Robert. Integral equation solutions as prior distributions for Bayesian model selection. *Test*, 17(3):493–504, 2008.

- [7] Mohan Delampady and James O. Berger. Lower bounds on bayes factors for multinomial distributions, with application to chi-squared tests of fit. *The Annals of Statistics*, 18(3):1295–1316, 1990.
- [8] Dimitris Fouskakis, Ioannis Ntzoufras, and David Draper. Power-expected-posterior priors for variable selection in gaussian linear models. *Bayesian Analysis*, 10(1):75–107, 2015.
- [9] Valen E. Johnson. Revised standards for statistical evidence. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 110(48):19313–19317, 2013.
- [10] Anthony O’Hagan. Fractional Bayes factors for model comparison. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Methodological)*, 57(1):99–118, 1995.
- [11] José M. Pérez and James O. Berger. Expected-posterior prior distributions for model selection. *Biometrika*, 89(3):491–511, 2002.
- [12] Ronald L. Wasserstein and Nicole A. Lazar. The ASA’s statement on p-values: context, process, and purpose. *The American Statistician*, 70(2):129–133, 2016.
- [13] Ronald L. Wasserstein, Allen L. Schirm, and Nicole A. Lazar. Moving to a world beyond “ $p < 0.05$ ”. *The American Statistician*, 73(sup1):1–19, 2019.

Short biography – PhD candidate in Applied Mathematics for Economics and Management with two publications in peer-reviewed journals and experience in the banking industry. Conducting research in the fields of Bayesian model selection and hypothesis testing with funding from the Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology. Holder of a postgraduate degree in Statistical Systems, a master’s degree in Applied Econometrics, and an undergraduate degree in Economics.