Greedy algorithms for high-dimensional non-symmetric problems

V. Ehrlacher Joint work with E. Cancès et T. Lelièvre

Financial support from Michelin is acknowledged.

CERMICS, Ecole des Ponts ParisTech & MicMac project-team, INRIA.

Greedy algorithms for symmetric coercive problems

2 Problem in the non-symmetric case



1 Greedy algorithms for symmetric coercive problems

2 Problem in the non-symmetric case

3 Other algorithms

We wish to approximate a function $u(x, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_d)$ where ξ_1, \dots, ξ_d are random variables with d very large.

$$x \in \mathcal{X}, \ \xi_1 \in \Xi_1, \ \cdots, \xi_d \in \Xi_d$$

Standard (Galerkin methods):

a priori fixed basis functions $(\phi_i(x))_{1 \leq i \leq N}$, $(\psi_{j_1}(\xi_1))_{1 \leq j_1 \leq N}$, ..., $(\psi_{j_d}(\xi_d))_{1 \leq j_d \leq N}$

$$u(x,\xi_1,\cdots,\xi_d)\approx \sum_{1\leq i,j_1,\cdots,j_d\leq N}\lambda_{i,j_1,\cdots,j_d}\phi_i(x)\psi_{j_1}(\xi_1)\cdots\psi_{j_d}(\xi_d).$$
$$\boxed{DIM=N^d}$$

Separated variable representation (also called canonical format)

The solution is represented as linear combinations of tensor products of small-dimensional functions to avoid the curse of dimensionality [Bellman, 1957]:

$$u(x,\xi_1,\cdots,x_d) \approx \sum_{k=1}^n s_k(x) r_k^1(\xi_1) \dots r_k^d(\xi_d)$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^n \left(s_k \otimes r_k^1 \dots \otimes r_k^d \right) (x,\xi_1,\dots,\xi_d).$$

$$DIM = nNd$$

Symmetric problem

$$u(x,\xi_1,\cdots,\xi_d)\in V$$
 where $V=V_x\otimes V_{\xi_1}\otimes\cdots V_{\xi_d}.$

$$V_x \subset L^2(\mathcal{X}), \ V_{\xi_1} \subset L^2(\Xi_1), \ \cdots, \ V_{\xi_d} \subset L^2(\Xi_d), \ V \subset L^2(\mathcal{X} imes \mathcal{X}_1 imes \cdots imes \Xi_d)$$

$$\forall v \in V, \ a(u, v) = l(v) \tag{1}$$

where

- a is a symmetric, coercive continuous bilinear form on $V \times V$;
- *I* is a continuous linear form on *V*.

Typical example:

$$\begin{cases} \text{Find } u \in V = H^1(\mathcal{X}) \otimes L^2_p(\Xi), \\ \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{\mathcal{X}} \nabla_x u(x,\xi) \cdot \nabla_x v(x,\xi) + u(x,\xi) v(x,\xi) \, dx\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{\mathcal{X}} f(x,\xi) v(x,\xi) \, dx\right] \\ (2) \end{cases}$$

with $f \in L^2(\mathcal{X}) \otimes L^2_p(\Xi)$.

$$V_x = H^1(\mathcal{X}), \ V_{\xi} = L^2_p(\Xi)_{\Xi}$$

3

We consider an approach proposed by:

- Ladevèze et al. to do time-space variable separation
- Chinesta *et al.* to solve high-dimensional Fokker-Planck equations in the context of kinetic models for polymers [Ammar et al., 2006]
- Nouy et al in the context of UQ. [Nouy, 2009]

In the symmetric coercive setting, problem (1) can be rewritten as an optimization problem

 $u = \operatorname*{argmin}_{v \in V} \mathcal{E}(v)$

where $\mathcal{E}(v) = \frac{1}{2}a(v, v) - l(v)$.

The idea is to look iteratively for the best tensor product.

 $u(x,\xi) = \sum_{k\geq 1} s_k(x) r_k(\xi).$ \downarrow V V_x V_{ξ} where the solution u is the unique global minimizer of the functional $\mathcal{E}: V \to \mathbb{R}.$

Greedy algorithm [Temlyakov, 2008]: We define recursively

$$(r_n, s_n) \in \operatorname*{argmin}_{(r,s)\in V_t \times V_x} \mathcal{E}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} r_k \otimes s_k + r \otimes s\right)$$
 (3)

Let us denote $u_n = \sum_{k=1}^n r_k \otimes s_k$. **Question:** Does u_n converge towards u? Yes!

 $(x,\xi) \in \mathcal{X} \times \Xi$

Convergence results

[Le Bris, Lelièvre, Maday, 2009], [Cancès, VE, Lelièvre, 2011] Or [Nouy, Falco, 2011]

$$\Sigma = \{r \otimes s, (r, s) \in V_x \times V_{\xi}\}$$

- $\operatorname{Span}(\Sigma)$ dense in V;
- Σ is weakly closed in V;
- \mathcal{E} is differentiable and \mathcal{E}' is Lipschitz continuous on bounded sets;
- ${\cal E}$ is elliptic, i.e. there exists $lpha > {\sf 0}$ and s > 1 such that

$$\forall \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbf{V}, \ \langle \mathcal{E}'(\mathbf{v}) - \mathcal{E}'(\mathbf{w}), \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w} \rangle_{\mathbf{V}} \geq \alpha \| \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w} \|_{\mathbf{V}}^{s};$$

$$u_n \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} u$$

Remark: \mathcal{E} does not necessarily need to be a quadratic functional for the algorithm to converge.

How are computed the $(s_n, r_n) \in V_x \times V_{\xi}$ in practice? By solving the Euler equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{a}(u_{n-1} + \mathsf{s}_n \otimes \mathsf{r}_n, \mathsf{s}_n \otimes \delta \mathsf{r} + \delta \mathsf{s} \otimes \mathsf{r}_n) &= \mathsf{I}(\mathsf{s}_n \otimes \delta \mathsf{r} + \delta \mathsf{s} \otimes \mathsf{r}_n), \\ \forall (\delta \mathsf{s}, \delta \mathsf{r}) \in \mathsf{V}_{\mathsf{x}} \times \mathsf{V}_{\xi}. \end{aligned}$$

For problem (5),

$$\mathbb{E}\left[r_n(\xi)^2\right]\left(-\Delta_x s_n(x)+s_n(x)\right)=\mathbb{E}\left[\left(f(x,\xi)+\Delta_x u_{n-1}(x,\xi)\right)r_n(\xi)\right],\\ \left(\int_{\mathcal{X}}|\nabla_x s_n(x)|^2+|s_n(x)|^2\,dx\right)r_n(\xi)=\int_{\mathcal{X}}(f(x,\xi)+\Delta_x u_{n-1}(x,\xi))s_n(x)\,dx.$$

3

2 Problem in the non-symmetric case

V. Ehrlacher (CERMICS)

э

$$\forall v \in V, \ a(u, v) = l(v) \tag{4}$$

where

- a = a_s + a_{as} where a_s is a symmetric, coercive continuous bilinear form on V × V and a_{as} an antisymmetric continuous bilinear form on V × V;
- *I* is a continuous linear form on *V*.

There is no minization problem formulation of the problem as in the symmetric case!! How can we define the PGD/greedy algorithm?

Naive idea: By solving the Euler equations:

 $a(u_{n-1} + s_n \otimes r_n, s_n \otimes \delta r + \delta s \otimes r_n) = l(s_n \otimes \delta r + \delta s \otimes r_n),$ $\forall (\delta s, \delta r) \in V_x \times V_{\xi}.$ Let us denote by A the operator on $L^2(\mathcal{X} \times \Xi)$, with domain D(A) such that

$$\forall u, v \in D(A), \langle Au, v \rangle_{L^2(\mathcal{X} \times \Xi)} = a(u, v).$$

Typical example: $A = -\Delta_x + b \cdot \nabla_x + 1 = A_x \otimes I_{\xi}$, $D(A) = H^2(\mathcal{X}) \otimes L^2_p(\Xi)$, with $A_x = -\Delta_x + b \cdot \nabla_x + 1$ on $L^2(\mathcal{X})$ and I is the identity operator on $L^2_p(\xi)$.

$$\begin{cases} \text{Find } u \in V = H^{1}(\mathcal{X}) \otimes L^{2}_{p}(\Xi), \\ \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{\mathcal{X}} \nabla_{x} u(x,\xi) \cdot \nabla_{x} v(x,\xi) + b \cdot \nabla u(x,\xi) v(x,\xi) + u(x,\xi) v(x,\xi) \, dx\right] \\ = \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{\mathcal{X}} f(x,\xi) v(x,\xi) \, dx\right], \end{cases}$$
(5)

with $f \in L^2(\mathcal{X}) \otimes L^2_p(\Xi)$.

$$V_x = H^1(\mathcal{X}), \ V_{\xi} = L^2_p(\Xi).$$

For problem (5),

$$\mathbb{E}\left[r_n(\xi)^2\right]A_xs_n(x) = \mathbb{E}\left[\left(f(x,\xi) + Au_{n-1}(x,\xi)\right)r_n(\xi)\right],\\ \left(\int_{\mathcal{X}}(A_xs_n(x))s_n(x)\,dx\right)r_n(\xi) = \int_{\mathcal{X}}(f(x,\xi) + Au_{n-1}(x,\xi))s_n(x)\,dx.$$

Problem: There are cases where the only solutions of these Euler equations are $(r_n, s_n) = (0, 0)$ even if $u_{n-1} \neq u_n!!$

3

Greedy algorithms for symmetric coercive problems

2 Problem in the non-symmetric case



-

[Falco et al, 2011]

The idea is then to symmetrize the problem by minimizing the L^2 residual. In the convection-diffusion case, perform the symmetric greedy algorithm on

 $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{v}) = \|A\mathbf{v} - f\|_{L^2(\mathcal{X}\times\Xi)}.$

When f is regular enough for the convection diffusion problem

$$\mathbb{E}\left[r_{n}(\xi)^{2}\right]A_{x}^{*}A_{x}s_{n}(x) = \mathbb{E}\left[\left(A^{*}(f(x,\xi) + Au_{n-1}(x,\xi))\right)r_{n}(\xi)\right],\\ \left(\int_{\mathcal{X}}\langle A_{x}^{*}A_{x}s_{n}, s_{n}\rangle_{L^{2}(\mathcal{X})}\,dx\right)r_{n}(\xi) = \int_{\mathcal{X}}\left(A^{*}(f(x,\xi) + Au_{n-1}(x,\xi))\right)s_{n}(x)\,dx.$$

The Euler equations are the ones associated to the problem

$$A^*Au = A^*f$$

The conditining of the discretized problems scales quadratically with the conditioning of the original problem!!

The idea is then to symmetrize the problem by minimizing the H^{-1} residual. In the convection-diffusion case, perform the symmetric greedy algorithm on

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{v}) = \|A\mathbf{v} - f\|_{H^{-1}(\mathcal{X}) \otimes L^2(\Xi)}.$$

Euler equations: minimization of the L^2 residual

$$\mathbb{E}\left[r_{n}(\xi)^{2}\right]A_{x}^{*}(-\Delta_{x})^{-1}A_{x}s_{n}(x)$$

$$=\mathbb{E}\left[(A^{*}(-\Delta_{x})^{-1}(f(x,\xi)+Au_{n-1}(x,\xi)))r_{n}(\xi)\right],$$

$$\left(\int_{\mathcal{X}}\langle A_{x}^{*}(-\Delta_{x})^{-1}A_{x}s_{n},s_{n}\rangle_{L^{2}(\mathcal{X})}\,dx\right)r_{n}(\xi)$$

$$=\int_{\mathcal{X}}(A^{*}(-\Delta_{x})^{-1}(f(x,\xi)+Au_{n-1}(x,\xi)))s_{n}(x)\,dx$$

The Euler equations are the ones associated to the problem

$$A^*(-\Delta_x)^{-1}Au = A^*(-\Delta_x)^{-1}f$$

The conditining of the discretized problems scales linearly with the conditioning of the original problem!!

However, this method needs to solve a lot of small-dimensional Poisson problems. It takes more time (although it can be done in parallel) and more memory.

V. Ehrlacher (CERMICS)

19 / 25

Idea: Perform the greedy algorithm with the symmetric part a_s of the bilinear form a and update the right-hand side at each iteration.

$$(r_n, s_n) \in \underset{(r,s)\in V_x\times V_{\xi}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \mathcal{E}_{n-1}(r\otimes s),$$

where

$$\mathcal{E}_{n-1}(r\otimes s)=\frac{1}{2}a_s(u_{n-1}+r\otimes s,u_{n-1}r\otimes s)-l(r\otimes s)-a_{as}(u_{n-1},r\otimes s)$$

with $u_{n-1} = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} r_k \otimes s_k$. In other words, at each iteration, one performs one greedy iteration on the problem

$$\forall v \in V, \ a_s(u,v) = l(v) - a_{as}(u_{n-1},v).$$

Of course, such an algorithm is expected to converge only if the antisymmetric part is small enough.

Proposition

If V_x and V_ξ are finite-dimensional, there exists $\kappa > 0$ such that if $\|a_{as}\|_{\mathcal{L}(V,V)} \leq \kappa \|a_s\|_{\mathcal{L}(V,V)}$, then the algorithm converges strongly in V.

Problem: The rate κ depends on the dimension of V_x and V_{ξ} .

Numerically, the rate κ seems not to depend on the dimension...

Idea: use a symmetric version of the antisymmetric problem [Cohen et al., 2011]

For the convection diffusion problem:

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & A^* \\ A & -\Delta \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c} u \\ y \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ f \end{array}\right)$$

This is a symmetric problem whose solution is (v, y) = (u, 0). But it is not a coercive problem!!!

- Minimax algorithm [Nouy, 2010]
- Dual algorithm, X-Greedy algorithm (Lozinski, based on ideas of Temlyakov)

Good numerical results but no theoretical proof of convergence.

References

- R.E. Bellman. Dynamic Programming. Princeton University Press, 1957.
- A. Ammar, B. Mokdad, F. Chinesta, and R. Keunings. A new family of solvers for some classes of multidimensional partial differential equations encountered in kinetic theory modeling of complex fluids. J. Non-Newtonian Fluid Mech., 139:153-176, 2006.
- E. Cancès, V.E., Tony Lelièvre. Convergence of a greedy algorithm for high-dimensional convex nonlinear problems, M3AS, 2011.
- A. Nouy and A. Falco. Proper Generalized Decomposition for Nonlinear Convex Problems in Tensor Banach Spaces", Numerische Mathematik,2011.
- C. Le Bris, Tony Lelièvre and Y. Maday. Results and questions on a nonlinear approximation approach for solving high-dimensional partial differential equations, Constructive Approximation 30(3):621-651, 2009.
- A. Nouy, Recent Developments in Spectral Stochastic Methods for the Numerical Solution of Stochastic Partial Differential Equations, Archives of Computational Methods in Engineering 16:251-285, 2009.
- A. Nouy, A priori model reduction through Proper Generalized Decomposition for solving time-dependent partial differential equations, *preprint*, 2010.
- V.N. Temlyakov. Greedy approximation. Acta Numerica, 17:235-409, 2008.
- A. Falco, L. Hilario, N. Montés, M.C. Mora. Numerical Strategies for the Galerkin Proper Generalized Decomposition Methods. 2011.
- A. Cohen, W. Dahmen, G. Welper. Adaptivity and Variational Stabilization for Convection-Diffusion Equations, 2011.

э.

Thank you for your attention!

э