Jacques BLUM University of Nice - Sophia Antipolis France

jblum@unice.fr

# Back and Forth Nudging for data assimilation in geophysics

7th International Conference on Sensitivity Analysis of Model Output MASCOT-SAMO 2013, Nice

#### 1. Data and models

#### 2. 4D-VAR

- 3. Back and forth nudging
- 4. Diffusive BFN algorithm

## Motivations

Environmental and geophysical studies : forecast the natural evolution  $\rightsquigarrow$  retrieve at best the current state (or initial condition) of the environment.

**Geophysical fluids** (atmosphere, oceans, ...) : turbulent systems  $\implies$  high sensitivity to the initial condition  $\implies$  need for a precise identification (much more than observations)

**Environmental problems** (ground pollution, air pollution, hurricanes, ...) : problems of huge dimension, generally poorly modelized or observed

Data assimilation consists in combining in an optimal way the observations of a system and the knowledge of the physical laws which govern it.

Main goal : identify the initial condition, or estimate some unknown parameters, and obtain reliable forecasts of the system evolution.

### **Data assimilation**



Fundamental for a chaotic system (atmosphere, ocean, ...)

**Issue :** These systems are generally irreversible.

**Goal :** Combine models and data.

#### $\Rightarrow$ 1. Data and models

#### 2. 4D-VAR

- 3. Back and forth nudging
- 4. Diffusive BFN algorithm

## Models

The equations governing the geophysical flows are derived from the general equations of fluid dynamics. The main variables used to describe the fluids are :

- The components of the velocity
- Pressure
- Temperature
- Humidity in the atmosphere, salinity in the ocean
- Concentrations for chemical species

The constraints applied to these variables are :

- Equations of mass conservation.
- Momentum equation containing the Coriolis acceleration term, which is essential in the dynamic of flows at extra tropical latitudes.
- Equation of energy conservation including law of thermodynamics.
- Law of behavior (e.g. Mariotte's Law).
- Equations of chemical kinetics if a pollution type problem is considered.

#### Full model : primitive equations.

These equations are complex, therefore we cannot expect to obtain an analytical solution. Before performing a numerical analysis of the system it will be necessary to :

Simplify the equations. This task will be carried out on physical basis.
For example, three dimensional fields could be vertically integrated using hydrostatic assumptions in order to obtain a two dimensional horizontal field which is more tractable for numerical purposes : shallow-water equations.

Other "toy" model : the quasi-geostrophic model obtained by a first-order expansion of the Navier-Stokes equation with respect to the Rossby number.

 Discretize the equations. The usual discretization methods are considered : finite differences, finite elements or spectral methods.



SYNOP/SHIP data : synoptic networks in red, airport data in blue, ship data in green.



Radiosonde measurements.



Drifting and moored buoys.



Observations from ten geostationary satellites.



Trajectories of six polar orbiting satellites.



Satellite altimetry (from AVISO web site).

#### Data assimilation methods :

1. **4D-VAR** : optimal control method, based on the minimization of a functional estimating the discrepancy between the model solution and the observations.

[Le Dimet-Talagrand (Tellus, vol. 38A, 1986)]

2. Sequential methods : Kalman filtering, extended Kalman and ensemble Kalman filters.

[Evensen (Ocean Dynamics, vol. 53, 2003)]

3. A new method : the Back and Forth Nudging.
[Auroux-Blum (Nonlinear Processes in Geophysics, vol. 15, 2008)]

1. Data and models

#### $\Rightarrow$ 2. 4D-VAR

- 3. Back and forth nudging
- 4. Diffusive BFN algorithm

### 4D-VAR



 $\mathcal{Y}(t)$ : observations of the system, H: observation operator,  $X_b$ : background, B and R: covariance matrices of background and observation errors respectively.

$$J(X_0) = \frac{1}{2} (X_0 - X_b)^T B^{-1} (X_0 - X_b) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T [\mathcal{Y}(t) - H(X(t))]^T R^{-1} [\mathcal{Y}(t) - H(X(t))] dt$$

**Optimization under constraints :** 

$$\mathcal{L}(X_0, X, P) = J(X_0) + \int_0^T \left\langle \frac{P}{dt} - \frac{dX}{dt} - F(X) \right\rangle dt$$

**Direct model :** 
$$\begin{cases} \frac{dX}{dt} = F(X) \\ X(0) = X_0 \end{cases}$$

Adjoint model : 
$$\begin{cases} -\frac{dP}{dt} = \left[\frac{\partial F}{\partial X}\right]^T P + H^T R^{-1} \left[\mathcal{Y}(t) - H(X(t))\right] \\ P(T) = 0 \end{cases}$$

Gradient of the cost-function :  $\frac{\partial J}{\partial X_0} = B^{-1}(X_0 - X_b) - P(0)$ 

**Optimal solution :**  $X_0 = X_b + BP(0)$ 

[Le Dimet-Talagrand (86)]

- 1. Data and models
- 2. 4D-VAR
- $\Rightarrow$  3. Back and forth nudging algorithm
  - 4. Diffusive BFN algorithm

## Forward nudging

Let us consider a model governed by a system of ODE :

$$\frac{dX}{dt} = F(X), \quad 0 < t < T,$$

with an initial condition  $X(0) = x_0$ .

 $\mathcal{Y}(t)$ : observations of the system H: observation operator.

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dX}{dt} = F(X) + K(\mathcal{Y} - H(X)), & 0 < t < T, \\ X(0) = X_0, \end{cases}$$

where K is the nudging (or gain) matrix.

In the linear case (where F is a matrix), the forward nudging is called Luenberger or asymptotic observer.

- Meteorology : Hoke-Anthes (1976)
- Oceanography (QG model) : De Mey et al. (1987), Verron-Holland (1989)
- Atmosphere (meso-scale) : Stauffer-Seaman (1990)
- Optimal determination of the nudging coefficients :

Zou-Navon-Le Dimet (1992), Stauffer-Bao (1993), Vidard-Le Dimet-Piacentini (2003) Lakshmivarahan-Lewis (2011) Luenberger observer, or asymptotic observer (Luenberger, 1966)

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dX_{true}}{dt} = FX_{true}, \quad \mathcal{Y} = HX_{true}, \\ \frac{dX}{dt} = FX + K(\mathcal{Y} - HX). \end{cases}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}(X - X_{true}) = (F - KH)(X - X_{true})$$

If F - KH is a Hurwitz matrix, i.e. its spectrum is strictly included in the half-plane  $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}; Re(\lambda) < 0\}$ , then  $X \to X_{true}$  when  $t \to +\infty$ .

How to recover the initial state from the final solution?

Backward model :

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d\tilde{X}}{dt} = F(\tilde{X}), \quad T > t > 0, \\ \tilde{X}(T) = \tilde{X}_T. \end{cases}$$

If we apply nudging to this backward model :

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d\tilde{X}}{dt} = F(\tilde{X}) - K(\mathcal{Y} - H\tilde{X}), \quad T > t > 0, \\ \tilde{X}(T) = \tilde{X}_T. \end{cases}$$

### **BFN : Back and Forth Nudging algorithm**

Iterative algorithm (forward and backward resolutions) :

$$\tilde{X}_0(0) = X_b \text{ (first guess)}$$

$$\int \frac{dX_k}{dt} = F(X_k) + K(\mathcal{Y} - H(X_k))$$
$$X_k(0) = \tilde{X}_{k-1}(0)$$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d\tilde{X}_k}{dt} = F(\tilde{X}_k) - K'(\mathcal{Y} - H(\tilde{X}_k)) \\ \tilde{X}_k(T) = X_k(T) \end{cases}$$

[Auroux - Blum, C. R. Acad. Sci. Math. 2005]

If  $X_k$  and  $\tilde{X}_k$  converge towards the same limit X, and if K = K', then X satisfies the state equation and fits to the observations.

### Choice of the direct nudging matrix K

Implicit discretization of the direct model equation with nudging :

$$\frac{X^{n+1} - X^n}{\Delta t} = FX^{n+1} + K(\mathcal{Y} - HX^{n+1}).$$

Variational interpretation : direct nudging is a compromise between the minimization of the energy of the system and the quadratic distance to the observations :

$$\min_{X} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \langle X - X^n, X - X^n \rangle - \frac{\Delta t}{2} \langle FX, X \rangle + \frac{\Delta t}{2} \langle R^{-1} (\mathcal{Y} - HX), \mathcal{Y} - HX \rangle \right],$$

by chosing

$$K = kH^T R^{-1}$$

where R is the covariance matrix of the errors of observation, and k is a scalar.

[Auroux-Blum, Nonlin. Proc. Geophys. 2008]

The feedback term has a double role :

- stabilization of the backward resolution of the model (irreversible system)
- feedback to the observations

If the system is observable, i.e.  $rank[H, HF, \ldots, HF^{N-1}] = N$ , then there exists a matrix K' such that -F - K'H is a Hurwitz matrix (pole assignment method).

Simpler solution : one can define  $K' = k' H^T R^{-1}$ , where k' is e.g. the smallest value making the backward numerical integration stable.

Viscous linear transport equation :

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \nu \partial_{xx} u + a(x) \partial_x u = -K(u - u_{obs}), & u(x, t = 0) = u_0(x) \\ \partial_t \tilde{u} - \nu \partial_{xx} \tilde{u} + a(x) \partial_x \tilde{u} = K'(\tilde{u} - u_{obs}), & \tilde{u}(x, t = T) = u_T(x) \end{cases}$$

We set  $w(t) = u(t) - u_{obs}(t)$  and  $\tilde{w}(t) = \tilde{u}(t) - u_{obs}(t)$  the errors.

- If K and K' are constant, then  $\forall t \in [0,T] : \widetilde{w}(t) = e^{(-K-K')(T-t)}w(t)$ (still true if the observation period does not cover [0,T])
- If the domain is not fully observed, then the problem is **ill-posed**.

Error after k iterations :  $w_k(0) = e^{-[(K+K')kT]}w_0(0)$  $\rightsquigarrow$  exponential decrease of the error, thanks to :

- K + K': infinite feedback to the observations (not physical)
- T : asymptotic observer (Luenberger)
- k : infinite number of iterations (BFN)

[Auroux-Nodet, COCV 2012]

### **Shallow water model**

$$\partial_t u - (f + \zeta)v + \partial_x B = \frac{\tau_x}{\rho_0 h} - ru + \nu \Delta u$$
$$\partial_t v + (f + \zeta)u + \partial_y B = \frac{\tau_y}{\rho_0 h} - rv + \nu \Delta v$$

$$\partial_t h + \partial_x (hu) + \partial_y (hv) = 0$$

• 
$$\zeta = \partial_x v - \partial_y u$$
 is the relative vorticity;

- $B = g^*h + \frac{1}{2}(u^2 + v^2)$  is the Bernoulli potential;
- $g^* = 0.02 \ m.s^{-2}$  is the reduced gravity;
- $f = f_0 + \beta y$  is the Coriolis parameter (in the  $\beta$ -plane approximation), with  $f_0 = 7.10^{-5} s^{-1}$  and  $\beta = 2.10^{-11} m^{-1} . s^{-1}$ ;
- $\tau = (\tau_x, \tau_y)$  is the forcing term of the model (e.g. the wind stress), with a maximum amplitude of  $\tau_0 = 0.05 \ s^{-2}$ ;
- $\rho_0 = 10^3 \ kg.m^{-3}$  is the water density;
- $r = 9.10^{-8} s^{-1}$  is the friction coefficient.
- $\nu = 5 \ m^2 . s^{-1}$  is the viscosity (or dissipation) coefficient.

### **Shallow water model**

**2D** shallow water model, state = height h and horizontal velocity (u, v)

#### Numerical parameters :

(run example)

Domain :  $L = 2000 \text{ km} \times 2000 \text{ km}$ ; Rigid boundary and no-slip BC; Time step = 1800 s; Assimilation period : 15 days; Forecast period : 15 + 45 days

Observations : of h only (~ satellite obs), every 5 gridpoints in each space direction, every 24 hours.

Background : true state one month before the beginning of the assimilation period + white gaussian noise ( $\sim 10\%$ )

**Comparison BFN - 4DVAR :** sea height h; velocity :u and v.

### **Convergence - perfect obs.**



### Comparison - noisy obs.



Top : identified initial condition after 5 iterations of BFN and 4D-VAR.

Bottom : true initial condition and background state.

### Comparison - noisy obs.



Corresponding states at the end of the forecast period (45 days) : BFN, 4D-VAR, true, background.

### **BFN-preprocessed 4D-VAR**



Relative difference between the true solution and the forecast trajectory corresponding to the BFN, 4D-VAR and BFN-preprocessed 4D-VAR identified initial conditions, vs time, for the height variable in the case of noisy observations.

#### Backward model and diffusion :

The main issue of the BFN is : how to handle diffusion processes in the backward equation?

Let us consider only diffusion : heat equation (in 1D)

$$\partial_t u = \partial_{xx} u$$

The backward nudging model will be :

$$\partial_t \tilde{u} = \partial_{xx} \tilde{u} + K(\tilde{u} - u_{obs})$$

from time T to 0. By using a change of variable t' = T - t, we can rewrite the backward model as a forward one :

$$\partial_{t'}\tilde{u} = -\partial_{xx}\tilde{u} - K(\tilde{u} - u_{obs}),$$

and we can see that even if the nudging term stabilizes the model, the backward diffusion is a real issue.

Numerically, one can solve the backward diffusion equation (with nudging), as the eigenvalues of the discrete Laplacian are bounded, but all eigenvalues are positive, and shifting all the spectrum is not very physical.

From a theoretical point of view, the spectrum of  $\Delta$  is included in  $\mathbb{R}^-$  and once again, the eigenvalues of  $-\Delta$  are all positive, and unbounded. Even if the original function has no high frequencies, the correction term (and numerical approximations) will ensure the presence of high frequencies  $\Rightarrow$  (positive) exponential divergence in time (with high coefficients!).

 $\Rightarrow$  big issue?

## **Diffusion problem**

Hopefully, in geophysical problems, diffusion is not a dominant term. The model has smoothing properties, and diffusion is small  $\rightarrow$  diffusion processes are not highly unstable in backward mode, even if the model is clearly unstable without nudging.

Theoretically, there is a problem :

- Viscous linear transport equation : if the support of K is a strict sub-domain (i.e. some parts of the space domain are not observed), there does not exist a solution to the backward model, even in the distribution sense.
- Viscous Burgers equation : even if K is constant (in time and space  $\Rightarrow$  full observations), the backward equation is ill-posed, as there is no stability (or continuity) with respect to the initial condition.

Without viscosity, one can prove the convergence of the BFN on these equations. [Auroux-Nodet, COCV 2012]

#### 1. Data and models

#### 2. 4D-VAR

3. Back and forth nudging algorithm

#### $\Rightarrow$ 4. Diffusive BFN algorithm

#### Diffusive free equations in the geophysical context :

In meteorology or oceanography, theoretical equations are usually diffusive free (e.g. Euler's equation for meteorological processes).

In a numerical framework, a diffusive term is added to the equations (or a diffusive scheme is used), in order to both stabilize the numerical integration of the equations, and take into consideration some subscale phenomena.

**Example :** weather forecast is done with Euler's equation (at least in Météo France...), which is diffusive free. Also, in quasi-geostrophic ocean models, people usually consider  $\nabla^4$  or  $\nabla^6$  for dissipation at the bottom, or for vertical mixing.

#### **Standard BFN algorithm :**

Original model :

$$\partial_t X = F(X), \quad 0 < t < T.$$

Corresponding BFN algorithm :

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t X_k = F(X_k) + K(\mathcal{Y} - H(X_k)), \\ X_k(0) = \tilde{X}_{k-1}(0), \quad 0 < t < T, \end{cases}$$
$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \tilde{X}_k = F(\tilde{X}_k) - K'(\mathcal{Y} - H(\tilde{X}_k)), \\ \tilde{X}_k(T) = X_k(T), \quad T > t > 0, \end{cases}$$

with the notation  $\tilde{X}_0(0) = x_0$ .

Addition of a diffusion term :

$$\partial_t X = F(X) + \nu \Delta X, \quad 0 < t < T,$$

where F has no diffusive terms,  $\nu$  is the diffusion coefficient, and we assume that the diffusion is a standard second-order Laplacian (could be a higher order operator).

We introduce the D-BFN algorithm in this framework, for  $k \ge 1$ :

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t X_k = F(X_k) + \nu \Delta X_k + K(\mathcal{Y} - H(X_k)), \\ X_k(0) = \tilde{X}_{k-1}(0), \quad 0 < t < T, \end{cases}$$
$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \tilde{X}_k = F(\tilde{X}_k) - \nu \Delta \tilde{X}_k - K'(\mathcal{Y} - H(\tilde{X}_k)), \\ \tilde{X}_k(T) = X_k(T), \quad T > t > 0. \end{cases}$$

It is straightforward to see that the backward equation can be rewritten, using t' = T - t:

$$\partial_{t'}\tilde{X}_k = -F(\tilde{X}_k) + \nu \Delta \tilde{X}_k + K'(\mathcal{Y} - H(\tilde{X}_k)), \quad \tilde{X}_k(t'=0) = X_k(T),$$

where  $\tilde{X}$  is evaluated at time t'. As it is now forward in time, this equation can be compared with the forward nudging equation :

 $\partial_t X_k = F(X_k) + \nu \Delta X_k + K(\mathcal{Y} - H(X_k)), \quad X_k(0) = \tilde{X}_{k-1}(t' = T).$ 

Then the backward equation can easily be solved, with an initial condition, and the same diffusion operator as in the forward equation. Only the physical model has an opposite sign.

The diffusion term both takes into account the subscale processes and stabilizes the numerical backward integrations, and the feedback term still controls the trajectory with the observations.

$$\partial_t u + a(x) \partial_x u = 0, \quad t \in [0, T], \ x \in \Omega, \quad u(t = 0) = u_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$$

with periodic boundary conditions, and we assume that  $a \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$ .

Numerically, for both stability and subscale modelling, the following equation would be solved :

$$\partial_t u + a(x) \partial_x u = \nu \partial_{xx} u, \quad t \in [0, T], x \in \Omega, \quad u(t = 0) = u_0 \in L^2(\Omega),$$

where  $\nu \geq 0$  is assumed to be constant.

### Linear transport equation

Let us assume that the observations satisfy the physical model (without diffusion) :

$$\partial_t u_{obs} + a(x) \partial_x u_{obs} = 0, \quad t \in [0, T], x \in \Omega, \quad u_{obs}(t = 0) = u_{obs}^0 \in L^2(\Omega).$$

We assume in this idealized situation that the system is fully observed (and H is then the identity operator).

Then the D-BFN algorithm applied to this problem gives, for  $k \geq 1$  :

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u_k + a(x) \,\partial_x u_k = \nu \partial_{xx} u_k + K(u_{obs,k} - u_k), \\ t \in [2(k-1)T, 2(k-1)T + T], x \in \Omega \\ u_k(2(k-1)T, x) = \tilde{u}_{k-1}(2(k-1)T, x) \end{cases} \\ \begin{cases} \partial_t \tilde{u}_k - a(x) \,\partial_x \tilde{u}_k = \nu \partial_{xx} \tilde{u}_k + K(\tilde{u}_{obs,k} - \tilde{u}_k), \\ t \in [2kT - T, 2kT], x \in \Omega \\ \tilde{u}_k(2kT - T, x) = u_k(2kT - T, x). \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

## **Smoothing equation**

At the limit  $k \to \infty$ ,  $v_k$  and  $\tilde{v}_k$  tend to  $v_{\infty}(x)$  solution of

$$\nu \partial_{xx} v_{\infty} + K(u_{obs}^0(x) - v_{\infty}) = 0,$$

or equivalently

$$-\frac{\nu}{K}\partial_{xx}v_{\infty} + v_{\infty} = u_{obs}^0.$$

This equations is well known in signal or image processing, as being the standard linear diffusion restoration equation. In some sense,  $v_{\infty}$  is the result of a smoothing process on the observations  $u_{obs}$ , where the degree of smoothness is given by the ratio  $\frac{\nu}{K}$ .

Convergence result for constant advection equation.

[Auroux-Blum-Nodet, CRAS 2012]

### Numerical experiments



Initial condition of the observation and corresponding smoothed solution; RMS difference between the BFN iterates and the smoothed observations; same in semi-log scale. Movie



### Numerical experiments

Linear transport equation with non-constant transport :



Movie

1D inviscid Burgers equation :

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial u^2}{\partial x} = 0,$$

with a given initial condition u(x,0) and periodic boundary conditions.

Diffusive BFN :

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial u_k^2}{\partial x} = \nu \frac{\partial^2 u_k}{\partial x^2} + K(u_{obs} - H(u_k)), & 0 < t < T, \ 0 < x < L, \\ u_k(x,0) = \tilde{u}_{k-1}(x,0), & 0 < x < L, \end{cases}$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}_k}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}_k^2}{\partial x} = -\nu \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{u}_k}{\partial x^2} - K'(u_{obs} - H(\tilde{u}_k)), & 0 < t < T, \ 0 < x < L, \\ \tilde{u}_k(x,T) = u_k(x,T), & 0 < x < L. \end{cases}$$
[Auroux-Bansart-Blum, Inv. Pbs Sci. Engin. 2012]

### Numerical experiments

Inviscid Burgers equation : creation of shocks in finite time



#### Comparison with a variational method :

		$n_x = 4$ $n_t = 4$ unnoisy	$n_x = 10$ $n_t = 10$ unnoisy	$n_x = 10$ $n_t = 10$ noisy (15%)
VAR	Number of iterations Relative RMS (%)	$18 \\ 0.49$	$\begin{array}{c} 20 \\ 1.64 \end{array}$	$\frac{15}{10.74}$
BFN2	Number of iterations Relative RMS (%)	$2 \\ 0.34 \\ (K = 30, K' = 60)$	$2 \\ 0.69 \\ (K = 40, K' = 80)$	$2 \\ 3.50 \\ (K = 10, K' = 20)$

Comparison between D-BFN and variational algorithms in the case of sparse and noisy observations on Burgers' equation with shock.

### Numerical experiments



Forecast error (difference between the true trajectory and the solutions of the direct model initialized with the identified solutions) for D-BFN and VAR algorithms, with sparse ( $n_x = 4 = n_t$ ) and noisy observations (15% noise).

## Full primitive ocean model

**Primitive equations :** Navier-Stokes equations (velocity-pressure), coupled with two active tracers (temperature and salinity).

Momentum balance :

$$\frac{\partial U_h}{\partial t} = -\left[ \left( \nabla \wedge U \right) \wedge U + \frac{1}{2} \nabla (|U|^2) \right]_h - f \cdot z \wedge U_h - \frac{1}{\rho_0} \nabla_h p + D^U + F^U$$

Incompressibility equation :

Hydrostatic equilibrium :

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial z} = -\rho g$$

 $\nabla U = 0$ 

Heat and salt conservation equations :

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = -\nabla (TU) + D^T + F^T \quad (+ \text{ same for S})$$

Equation of state :  $\rho = \rho(T, S, p)$ 

## Full primitive ocean model

**Free surface formulation :** the height of the sea surface  $\eta$  is given by

$$\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} = -div_h((H+\eta)\bar{U}_h) + [P-E]$$

The surface pressure is given by :  $p_s = \rho g \eta$ .

This boundary condition is then used for integrating the hydrostatic equilibrium and calculating the pressure.

**Numerical experiments :** double gyre circulation confined between closed boundaries (similar to the shallow water model). The circulation is forced by a sinusoidal (with latitude) zonal wind.

Twin experiments : observations are extracted from a reference run, according to networks of realistic density : SSH is observed similarly to TO-PEX/POSEIDON, and temperature is observed on a regular grid that mimics the ARGO network density.

### Full primitive ocean model



Example of observation network used in the assimilation : along-track altimetric observations (Topex-Poseidon) of the SSH every 10 days; vertical profiles of temperature (ARGO float network) every 18 days.

### Numerical results



Relative RMS error of the temperature (left) and longitudinal velocity (right), 6 iterations of BFN (nudging terms in the temperature and SSH equations only), with full and unnoisy SSH observations every day.

### Numerical results



Relative RMS error of the longitudinal and transversal velocities, 3 iterations of BFN (nudging terms in the temperature and SSH equations only), with "realistic" SSH observations (T/P track + 15% noise).

## Conclusions

### **Back and Forth Nudging algorithm :**

- Easy implementation (no linearization, no adjoint state, no minimization)
- Very efficient in the first iterations (faster convergence)
- Lower computational and memory costs than other DA methods
- Stabilization of the backward model
- Excellent preconditioner for 4D-VAR (or Kalman filters)

### Diffusive BFN algorithm :

- Converges even faster, with smaller backward nudging coefficients
- Still produces very precise forecasts

### Sensitivity analysis problems :

- Sensitivity to the initial condition (chaotic systems)
- Sensitivity to the model (reduced model, model errors, ...)
- Sensitivity to the data (noise, inversion procedure, ...)
- Sensitivity to the numerical procedure (discretization, cost-function, ...)